

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/067,301	02/07/2002	Choon-sik Jung	1293.1313	7034	
21171 STAAS & HA	7590 04/23/2010 LISEY LLP	EXAMINER			
SUITE 700		DUNN, MISHAWN N			
1201 NEW YO WASHINGTO	ORK AVENUE, N.W. ON DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	71, 150 20005		2621		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/23/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/067,301	JUNG, CHOON-SIK		
Examiner	Art Unit		
MISHAWN DUNN	2621		

			1	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addi	ress
THE	REPLY FILED 26 March 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidav al (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a)		of the final rejection.		
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejectio	n.
nave b under set for may re	ions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date even filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s thin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later duce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). CE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply original	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ite extension fee action; or (2) as
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
	<u>IDMENTS</u>			
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) \(\times \) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) \(\times \) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belov (c) \(\times \) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal, and/or	sideration and/or search (see NO v);	TE below);	
	(d) ∑ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally rei	ected claims	
	NOTE: <u>The newly added limitaitons in newly adder</u> search. New claim 13 is added without canceling a 41,33(a)).	d claim 13 raise new issues that w	ould require further co.	
4. 🔲	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
5. 🔲	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).			_
	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		Il be entered and an ex	planation of
	Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,5-7 and 10-12. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
B. 🔲	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	to provide a
] The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatior JEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attache	ed.
	The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application is	n condition for allowand	ce because:

13. Other: _____.

/Thai Tran/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2621

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

Application No.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant argues that Ando does not teach the limitations of independent claim 1. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Ando teaches a method of storing program data, which is is encoded by compression, comprising: extracting information, which is to be referenced in reproducing the program data, from the program data, the extracted by formation including information associated with an I-picture that is extracted by searching a transport stream (TS) for the I-picture (col. 32, line 64 - col. 33, line 3; figs. 20 a-c and 21 a-c), and saving a start disk packet point and TS packet point if a current TS packet is related to the I-picture (abstract, figs. 20-22; making a table of the extracted information and the program data in a storage apparatus (fig. 20), wherein the program data is encoded by compression according to the MPEG-2 standard and packetized in the form of the TS and the extracting of the information ormprises extracting a program allocation table (PAT), a program map table (PMT) (fig. 9), wherein the extracting of the information ormprises extracting docation information of the I-picture (col. 29, lines 55-58), and the program data is incompation of the I-picture (col. 29, lines 55-58), and the program data is description information of the I-picture (col. 15, lines 1-17; fig. 9). Therefore, claim 1 stands rejected.